## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MICHEL PAYNE,	)
Plaintiff,	) ) 8:05cv132
VS.	ORDER to SHOW CAUSE
OMAHA POLICE DEPT., et al.,	) ) )
Defendants.	) )

This matter is before the court sua sponte. It appears to the court that this case may be abandoned or in default, as the parties have not filed the Rule 26(f) joint planning report which was required to be filed with the court by August 12, 2005. Neither party has provided the court with an explanation for the parties' failure to file a timely report. Therefore, by September 15, 2005, each party shall file a Response to Order to Show Cause explaining why the report has not been filed. In the absence of a timely Response by the defendant, the court will entertain a motion for sanctions by the plaintiff. In the absence of a timely Response by the plaintiff or if no one responds by September 15, 2005, this case will be subject, without further notice, to dismissal without prejudice by District Judge Richard G. Kopf, for lack of prosecution. <sup>1</sup>

SO ORDERED.

DATED this 31<sup>st</sup> day of August, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. GOSSETT United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See NECivR 41.1, which states in pertinent part: "At any time when it appears that any action is not being prosecuted with reasonable diligence the court may dismiss it for lack of prosecution."